

1. Paragraph 3.d.:

Is the Agency legally bound by these rules ... positively?

2. Paragraph 4.c.:

The employee is not being asked to progress from a substantially permanent to a temporary basis. He would be assured of the permanent grade from which promoted.

Detail and then promotion is not the answer. It does not take care of the Senior Representative in _______ etc., for whom there is no comparable job to come home to. It would appear that we are thinking of this too much from the "departmental" viewpoint only.

25X1A

As a practical matter, I wonder how many of our supergrade incumbents are veterans? Again, as a practical matter, how many of our supergrades would (in the event they are of the high caliber that we think they are) if they "clearly understand and consent to the arrangement" appeal reductions to permanent non-supergrade positions? What could the Director do? What is the risk?

3. Paragraph 5:

Having concluded that the Agency should not have temporary promotions to supergrades, no consideration whatever appears to have been given to establishing any criteria. Anticipating that the Director may insist that we have temporary promotions, we should give some guidance as to what our recommendations would be for the transition

78-47/8/36 4 136 23/090

Approved For Release 2002/08/06 : CIA-RDP 8-04718A000100160102-2



from temporary to permanent status.

h. The study is entirely too long. The facts and discussion should be boiled down to a sentence or two to express each idea and the bulk appended as tabe.

15/

L. K. White

1 Att
Att 1 - Memo dtd 1 Jul 53 to A-DD/A
fr PD, sub: "Promotions to
Supergrade Positions," w/att.

A-DD/A:LKW:laq
Distribution:

DD/A chrono

DD/A sub: "PERSONNEL - Supergrades"

Approved For Release 2002/08/06 : CIA-RDP78-04718A000100160102-2 $MISSING\ PAGE$

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):

missing 1 attachment